

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/657,287	09/08/2003	William A. Clark	092807.011000	1382	
33717 GREENBERG	7590 06/12/200 TRAURIG LLP (LA)	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUTTE 400E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
	JAL PROPERTY DEPA ICA, CA 90404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2437			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/657,287	CLARK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	PAUL CALLAHAN	2437		

	PAUL CALLAHAN	2437	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhaunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later may be a considered to the control of the con	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprie inally set in the final Offic le of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 \(\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belook) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett 	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	4 0		DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owabie ir submitted in a separate,	amely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437	/Paul Callahan/ Art Unit 2437		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant asserts that the finality of the previous Office Action is improper because the Examiner failed, as per MPEP Sec. 2106, to identify patentable subject matter from the Specification that would overcome the rejections of the claim under 35 USC Sec. 101. However, the Examiner notes that claims 9-15 and 25-28 are indicated as allowable in the previous Office Action, Additionally, the reasons for indicating allowable subject matter for claims 25-28 were set forth in the first action. Therefore, the Examiner has given the Applicant an indication of what features of the invention as set forth in the Specification would render the claims rejected under 35 USC Sec. 101 allowable.

The Applicant substantially reterates his arguments in traverse of the rejections of the draims under 35 USC Sec. 101 as previously addressed in the Final Addion. The Examiner maintains that the claims are directed towards non-statutory substantial that the claims are directed towards a mere arrangement of data on a storage medium and as such represent non-functional descriptive material, something that is not eligible for the grant of a US Patent. The data glybhy on the film prints are not data cuttures or program code that instruct a processor when read from the film and therefore cannot effect a change in state of a processor to produce a useful or tangible result, or be associated with a particular processor as a

/Paul Callahan/ Art Unit 2437